



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		EW DIG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,916		12/21/2001	Leonid Beigelman	MBHB00,00-883-K;RPI No.90	5150
•		0.4/07/2004		EXAMINER	INER
20306 MCDONN	7590 ELL B	04/07/2004 SOEHNEN HULBER	ERT & BERGHOFF LLP	O SULLIVAN, PETER G	
300 S. WACKER DRIVE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
32ND FLOC CHICAGO,		0606		1621	<u> </u>
			•	DATE MAILED: 04/07/200	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/036,916	BEIGELMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter G O'Sullivan	1621
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
ariod for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHER MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the learned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PIN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, mand. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) I	y a reply be timely filed fithirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
itatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	22 <u>December 2003</u> .	
. □ =	This action is non-final.	
2) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal r	natters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
A) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-33</u> is/	are withdrawn from conside	eration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement	i.
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
40\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	ີ accepted or b)∐ objecte	d to by the Examiner.
A	to the drawing(s) be held in al	beyance. See 37 Of R 1.00(a).
- (in a shoot/s) including the	correction is required if the dra	iwing(s) is objected to. See 37 Crit 1.12 (4)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the atta	ached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1 Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received	d.
a Continued copies of the priority doc	uments have been received	in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ne priority documents have	been received in this National Stage
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copie	s not received.
Oct the addition account a con-		
Attachment(s)	4) 🗍 Inte	rview Summary (PTO-413)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) Par	er No(s)/Mail Date
Notice of Druitsperson of Lucin Druitsp	5) ∐ Not 6) ☐ Oth	ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:
· -r · · ·		Part of Paper No./Mail Date 040520

Application/Control Number: 10/036,916

Art Unit: 1621

Claims 1-33 are pending in this application which should be reviewed for errors. In response to the restriction requirement, applicants elected with traverse the invention of Group V, claim 5. Claims 1-4 and 6-33 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to non-elected inventions. Applicants are requested to send the Cleary et al., Kaye et al., and McGrath et al. references cited on their form 1449.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Behr et al., US 5,616,745. Behr et al. disclose 5-carboxyspermylglycinedioctadecylamide.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/036,916

Art Unit: 1621

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Behr et al., US 5,616,745. Behr et al. disclose lipopolyamines of formula I to be useful as vectors for the transfection of eukaryotic cells (s. Cols. 1 and 2). N may be 1 to 5, m may be 2-6 and R may be hydrogen or a radical of formula II (s. Col. 1, line 25). R1 and R2 may be identical or different saturated or unsaturated aliphatic radicals. The anticipating compound noted above is disclosed in example 1. The teaching of Behr et al. differs from the instant invention in that, although Behr et al. discloses compounds of formula I overlapping applicants', not all of the compounds are actually exemplified. It would have been prima facie obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to start with the teaching of Behr et al., to make additional generically disclosed compounds especially in view of the anticipating compound actually made and to expect to produce further compounds useful as transfection vectors.

No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/036,916

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Peter G O'Sullivan at telephone number (571)272-0642.

PEYER OF ULLIVAN HER SOON CLAMINER CHARA 1860